These guidelines provide a step-wise approach for the preparation of Level 2 Land and Environment Plans. The principal aim is to identify Land Management Units (LMUs), which are used as a basis for nutrient budgeting, assessing strengths and weaknesses, and estimating farm yield gap.

To complete this Level 2 LEP you will need an aerial photo for mapping purposes—refer to farm map section 1a).

**Level 2 LEP guidelines**

Instructions

Preparing a Level 2 LEP involves mapping land into units, and then assessing those units for land and environment purposes. Key steps are summarised as:

- Stocktake the farm's land and soil resources
- Develop Land Management Units (LMUs)
- Use LMUs as a basis for nutrient budgeting, strengths and weaknesses analysis, and yield gap appraisal
- Summarise opportunities for more sustainable farming as a three-year response plan.

While Level 2 may be more challenging than a Level 1 LEP, you do not have to do it all on your own. Help and resources are available from a range of sources e.g. some regional councils, or rural consultants can be contracted.

This LEP should be reviewed each year to assess progress, carry over any incomplete activities, and to consider new issues if and when they arise.

Contact your local Beef + Lamb New Zealand Extension Manager for assistance or further information about land and environment planning. Contacts are provided on the back page.

By completing this Level 2 LEP you will be joining the growing number of farmers using good management tools to future-proof their farms.
1) Prepare a farm map

Create a farm map that shows sites of interest for land and environment planning.

a) Obtain an aerial photo (copy)

- Many farmers already have an aerial photo or an orthophoto of their farm. These can be obtained online (e.g. Google Earth), from commercial suppliers, rural practitioners, or your local regional council may be able to help. Photography outlets, printers, copy centres and desktop publishers can provide large format copies and resizing. Some regional council’s will provide you with suitable aerial photos or mapping. The Beef + Lamb New Zealand Mapping Reference may help you.

- Orthophotos are strongly recommended because they have been digitally corrected to remove distortions caused by camera tilt, lens curvature, and terrain uneveness.

- Make at least three copies of the farm photo. Minimum size should be A3 (297 x 420 mm), but bigger is always better for farm mapping. Spanning the farm photo across two or three A3 size pages achieves a detailed scale but also retains manageability.

- Increasingly there are electronic mapping or planning packages available so you can create your map on your computer, including separate layers for different features e.g. waterways, fences, pipelines. Most packages can be integrated with other software such as Overseer® for nutrient budgeting, or farm business planning packages.

b) Map relevant features

- Mark in a North arrow and give the map a name (e.g. Smith’s Farm Map).

- Map features of interest. These can be natural (e.g. wetlands, waterways) or constructed (e.g. buildings, tracks). Minimum features to map include:
  1. The farm boundary.
  2. All fencelines, including those adjacent to waterbodies.
  3. Key structures like buildings, storage sheds and yards, raceways, tracks, bridges, crossings or fords.
  4. Permanent and intermittent water courses, streams, drains (including tile drains), lakes, ponds or wetlands.
  5. Silage, offal or refuse pits, feeding or stock holding areas, effluent storage ponds, effluent blocks.
  6. Location of riparian vegetation adjacent to waterways, areas of significant indigenous biodiversity (identified in your local District Plan) or protected (covenanted or fenced) bush or landscapes.
  7. Woodlots or forestry, and sizeable areas of bush and scrub.
  8. Any other relevant features, such as those listed below.

- Use symbols, lines, hatching and colour to differentiate features.

- Create a legend that lists and describes what each map symbol represents.

Additional features for consideration

- Riparian zones
- Wetlands
- Fenced bush (QE II)
- Shelterbelts
- Stock fords
- Bores
- Waterways and unprotected riparian areas
- Conservation trees
- Woodlots/forestry
- Detention dams and other structures
- Dumps, offal holes
- Prevailing wind direction
- Archaeological sites
- Chemical storage sheds
- Runoff points to water (dips, yards, tracks)
- Power pylons, pipelines, easements
- Cultural sites
- Pest control areas

The endpoint of this step is a Farm Map for LEP purposes. An optional refinement is to make the map into an electronic format for presentation purposes.
2) Map the land resource

Create a stock take of your farm’s natural capital.

a) Divide the farm into primary landforms

- Primary landforms are easy-to-recognise differences in the landscape associated with changes in geology, morphology (shape and form), slope, and other physical factors.
- Map out primary landforms on a separate aerial photo copy or layer (if using electronic mapping). Start with the obvious, such as separating flat land from hilly areas. Then focus on each primary landform and break it down further. For example, it may be possible to break hilly areas into gorges, valley floors, steepleland, rolling hills, etc.
- If only one landform is evident (e.g. a completely flat farm) then move onto the next step.

Landform examples

- Mountain land
- Hill country
- Alluvial flats
- Terraces
- Gorges
- Steepland
- Rolling hills
- Valley floors
- Scarp slopes
- Ridge tops
- Swamps
- Basins
- Glacial moraine
- Dunes
- Flood plains

b) Focus and refine

- Focus on a single landform. Are there areas within the landform that have other physical differences? Consider soil types, drainage, dryness, pasture production, and other physical characteristics and qualities. Examples are given below.
- Repeat the same exercise for each landform, mapping each major difference as a new land type.
- Create a legend with names that describe each land type.

Land characteristics and qualities to consider

- Natural drainage
- Dryness
- Iron or clay pans
- Changes in geology
- P retention status
- Soil depth
- Erosion—existing and at risk areas
- Aspect
- Stoniness
- Flooding frequency
- Elevation
- Contour and slope
- Workability
- Soil texture (e.g. clayey, sandy, etc.)
Alternative methods

Some farms already have detailed land resource maps. This may be a soil map, or a Land Resource Inventory (LRI) and Land Use Capability (LUC) map surveyed by a regional council or catchment board at the farm scale (e.g. 1:5,000 to 1:20,000). These can be used as an alternative, rather than preparing a new land resource map.

All of New Zealand has been surveyed at the regional scale (1:50,000 and 1:63,360). While the level of detail is too coarse for farm management purposes, maps at this scale are useful starting points for further investigation. Soil maps are available for most areas. Land Resource Inventory Worksheets and the NZ LRI database are available for all of New Zealand. Copies or extracts may be obtainable from local libraries, on-line through Crown Research Institutes such as LandcareResearch, farm mapping companies, fertiliser companies, and regional councils.

Coarse-scale soil and LRI information can be useful in most cases. However, when using at a farm level it is important to validate these maps, and refine the detail so they better reflect differences within the farm.

The endpoint of this step is a map of farm land resources which will be used as a basis for generating Land Management Units (LMU).
Example landform mapping

Each farm will be different in how it can be broken down into landforms. For this Waikato example, the following steps were used.

a) The most distinctive landforms were mapped.
   1. Ungrazed gully systems.
   2. A large wetland area retired from grazing.
   3. River bed and adjacent areas that flood regularly.

   These areas were easy to identify. Once they had been mapped out, the remaining land could be focused on more clearly.

b) Flat areas were mapped.
   4. Firstly, all flat areas were mapped as one unit. They were then broken down further according to the following differences:
      4a. Extensive elevated terrace that never floods, and has very deep and well drained soils.
      4b. Slightly lower river terrace that has flooded. Finer textured soils with relatively poor drainage.
      4c. Low river terraces that often flood. Sandy and droughty soils, and some patches of gravel.
      4d. High terrace +100m above the river. Absolutely flat and has river stones in the soil profile.

c) Hill country was divided into best and worse land
   5a. Front sandstone hill country. Easy rolling for the most part with deep free draining soils. Particularly good for winter brassicas. Catches the winter sun and less droughty than the back hills (5b).
   5b. Back sandstone hill country. Much steeper than the front hill country, with shallower and drier soils. Only one paddock can be cultivated. Slightly softer sandstone base because water channels can cut down quickly in heavy rain.
   5c. Strongly rolling hill country. Sandstone is mostly uncemented, and in places it is more like deep raw sand. High soil P levels and it grows good grass, but very prone to slumping and pugging in winter. This hill country has the highest local site index for growing radiata pine.
   5d. Steep, unstable hill country. Has the same sandy base rock as 5c, but the extra steepness makes erosion particularly active. Only thin soils remaining on the steepest parts. Unusual profile because it’s very wet in winter (lots of rushes), but it’s the first part of the farm to dry out in summer.

This map was refined further to identify all the potentially arable hill country, and patches of poorly drained soils found throughout the terrace flats. Likewise, some of the steepest slopes were mapped separately as potential woodlot sites.
3) Land Management Units (LMUs)

Land Management Units (LMUs) are areas of land that can be farmed or managed in a similar way because of underlying physical similarities. They can represent a static snapshot of how land is currently used, or an insight into how land could be used if all physical opportunities were realised.

Designing new LMUs involves three steps. These include grouping similar land types (Step 3a), evaluating strengths and weaknesses (Step 3b), and developing a summary resource chart (Step 3c). Read through all the steps before starting on LMU design.

LMUs represent farming’s interaction with the physical landscape. The idea is to better clarify what you have (the land resource) so it can be better matched with what you need (a productive sustainable farming system).

**LAND RESOURCE**
What you have

**How well matched is the current system?**

Can land management be changed to better the land resource?
Can the land resource be developed to improve land use?
What are the opportunities? What are the limitations?

**PRODUCTIVE SUSTAINABLE FARMING SYSTEM**
What to aim for
3a) Design Land Management Units (LMUs)

Create a map of Land Management Units.

**Group similar land types into LMUs**

- Aim to aggregate the many different land types into a more manageable set of LMUs.
- Firstly, name all tree blocks (e.g. forestry, bush) as one or two LMUs. These areas require different management by default. Many small areas can be grouped as one LMU (e.g. patches of bush).
- For the remainder, consider each land type individually. What makes it different? Does it have favourable qualities? Unfavourable qualities? Can it be grouped with other similar land types?
- You may already have different management blocks. There may be a lambing block, beef unit block, cropping block, back country block, and so on. Map these existing management blocks against your Land Resource Map. Based on the resources, strengths and weaknesses identified, are there any opportunities or constraints in the current management blocks that could be changed to better use your land?
- Now is a good time to start a strength and weakness analysis (Step 3b) and resource chart (Step 3c). This is a ‘chicken or egg’ process because it requires describing the LMU, and assessing strengths and weaknesses of the LMU, as part of the actual LMU development process.
- LMUs are meant to be practical so use existing fencelines to define unit boundaries (unless you identify an opportunity that requires changes to fence lines). Other factors to consider when drafting LMUs are listed opposite.

**Other considerations for the design of LMUs**

- Riparian zones
- Areas at different stages of development
- Erosion management areas
- Areas that flood
- North and south facing slopes
- Wetlands
- Fragile soils
- Pugging management areas
- Weed or pest control areas
- Size: Is it big enough to be managed differently?
- Stock risk areas (gorges, liver fluke, tutu, tomos)
- Climate: Does exposure to wind limit options for use?
- Accessibility: Does access limit use?
- Distance from services and facilities
3b) Strengths and weaknesses

Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of each LMU. You can use the template provided or your own.

**List strengths and weaknesses of each LMU**

- A strength is a favourable land quality, while a weakness is a not-so-favourable quality.
- What is defined as a strength or a weakness depends on the management purpose being considered. For example, stoniness may be a weakness for cropping, but it may represent a strength for winter grazing of cattle (to avoid pugging).
- Prepare a draft table of strengths and weaknesses for each (developing) LMU. As you work through the table you may identify opportunities that require LMUs to be modified. Examples of possible strengths and weaknesses are listed below.
- When LMUs are finalised, strengths and weaknesses are recorded in the resource chart (Step 3c).

**Examples of possible strengths**

- Free draining
- Deep topsoil
- Good soil moisture- holding ability
- High natural fertility
- Good soil structure
- Balanced soil texture (e.g. loam)
- Resistant to pugging
- Well aerated
- Optimum P,K,S levels
- Optimum pH
- Flat land
- Naturally sheltered
- Warm aspect
- Stable (no erosion)
- New pasture
- Good pasture quality
- Well sheltered by trees
- Artificially drained
- Low insect risk
- Low in weeds
- Good stock access to water
- Good machinery access

**Examples of possible weaknesses**

- Poorly drained
- Shallow topsoil
- Poor soil moisture- holding ability
- Low natural fertility
- Poor soil structure
- Too much clay or sandy
- Susceptible to pugging or compaction
- High water table
- High nutrient leaching
- High runoff risk
- Excessive stoniness
- Hot dry aspect
- Wet cold aspect
- Droughty
- Erosion prone
- Flooding risk
- Low quality pasture
- Excessively steep
- Exposed
- Weeds or pests are a problem
- Poor stock access to water
- Small or fragmented
- Poor machinery access
3c) Resource chart

Describe and record the characteristics, strengths, and weaknesses of each LMU.

Describe the physical characteristics of each LMU

- Prepare a resource chart. An example is provided.
- Refer back to the farm resource-map to describe physical characteristics of each LMU.

Record strengths and weaknesses

- Record strengths and weaknesses under the appropriate headings.

The endpoint of Step 3 is a map of Land Management Units and a resource chart describing characteristics, strengths and weaknesses.

Example of a resource chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMU</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRENGTHS</th>
<th>WEAKNESSES</th>
<th>USES AND MANAGEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Bush Blocks</td>
<td>Scattered bush fragments unfenced</td>
<td>• Shade and shelter</td>
<td>• Possum refuge</td>
<td>• Fence off and protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Aesthetics</td>
<td>• Trees are not pasture</td>
<td>• Possum control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. River flats</td>
<td>Flat sandy soils, stones in patches</td>
<td>• Cultivable</td>
<td>• Dry</td>
<td>• Irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sheltered</td>
<td>• Patchy production</td>
<td>• Deer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• High K reserves</td>
<td>• Minor flood risk</td>
<td>• Lamb finishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Well drained and resilient to pugging</td>
<td>• Small area away from main access</td>
<td>• Intensive beef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gorge block</td>
<td>Steep sided gorge with sandstone bluffs and scrub</td>
<td>• Sheltered and dry</td>
<td>• Steepness</td>
<td>• Emergency feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Accessible</td>
<td>• Possums</td>
<td>• Retire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Difficult to muster</td>
<td>• Emergency protection for ewes after shearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Erosion prone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Flash floods in creek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stoney hills</td>
<td>Rolling hills with well developed but dry soils</td>
<td>• Well drained</td>
<td>• Tunnel gullying</td>
<td>• Grapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>on gravels</td>
<td>• Resilient to pugging</td>
<td>• Dry</td>
<td>• Cattle wintering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy contour</td>
<td>• Poor pasture species</td>
<td>• Requires shelter belts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Gorse</td>
<td>• K line irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Exposed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Wet hill country</td>
<td>Developed mudstone hill country</td>
<td>• Large area</td>
<td>• Rushes</td>
<td>• No cattle in winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Holds on through summer</td>
<td>• Earthflow erosion in spots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• High natural fertility</td>
<td>• Pugs up in winter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characteristics, strengths and weaknesses, and relative pasture yield of each Land Management Unit (refer to page 9 for example)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMU</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRENGTHS</th>
<th>WEAKNESSES</th>
<th>USES AND MANAGEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Example:** Bush blocks | Scattered bush fragments unfenced | • Shade and shelter  
• Aesthetics | • Possum refuge  
• Trees are not pasture | • Fence off and protect  
• Possum control |
<p>| 1.        |                                   |                      |                           |                               |
| 2.        |                                   |                      |                           |                               |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses and Management</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>LMU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4) Nutrient budget

Quantify farm nutrient balances using Land Management Units.

Overseer® nutrient budgets are a standard component of good management practice in modern farming, ensuring continuous improvement through efficient fertiliser use and helping minimise nutrient losses from the farm.

Fertiliser companies and some farm advisors can create nutrient budgets with Overseer®. It is important to have someone who is trained in operating Overseer® to ensure the results are valuable and as accurate as possible.

Your nutrient budget should be updated annually. The outputs in a nutrient budget will help you target areas for development and nutrient savings on your farm. The information it provides is key to understanding your nutrient management risks and opportunities.

Review the nutrient budget

1. Is nutrient loss from specific LMUs a risk which is not currently well managed? It is important to recognise that even if farm average nutrient loss is low, there may be blocks where it is high. These ‘hotspots’ are common and can be managed to best practice to minimise risk.

2. Is your nutrient budget up to date? Do the blocks in Overseer® match your LMUs? If not, the next time you review your Overseer® nutrient budget with your advisor, you might want to update it so that it reflects your LMUs better.

3. If actions are required, include these in your response plan.

Examples of good management practices to manage nutrient loss in hotspots

- Key sites for phosphorus and sediment losses identified
- Alternative sources of stock water in each paddock
- Strategic vegetated-buffer areas where runoff converges, and around waterways in intensely farmed areas
- Where conditions allow, use slow release P-fertiliser
- No super-phosphate application when heavy rainfall is forecast
- Olsen-P maintained at optimum levels
- Regular soil tests
- Calibration of equipment used for fertiliser application and/or precision application where possible from external providers
- Avoid winter applications of N-fertiliser
- Ensure other nutrients are non-limiting (maximise N-uptake opportunity)
- Deep soil N tests used as basis of N application to crops
- N application rates set to match growth cycle of pasture or crop
- Cultivation practices and timing adjusted to minimise N losses
- Crop rotation designed to utilise residual nitrogen in soil, e.g. cereals following fodder crops.
- Erosion is managed/minimised
- Direct drilling or minimum tillage used
5) Productive potential

Generally, highly efficient and well-run farming businesses are also good for the environment.

Make an assessment of your productive potential

- Consider each of your Land Management Units in the context of your whole farm system, are you operating at optimum for all of these areas (optimum may mean reducing stocking rates or retiring land)?

Consider actions to improve productive potential

- You will have a good grasp of the productive potential for your property. Are there any actions you can take to help reach that potential if you are not there already? Below are some aspects to consider and tools that can help to assess them.

Aspects to consider

- Lambing/calving percentage
- Reducing lamb/calf losses
- Reproductive efficiency—maternal liveweight; hogget lambing/heifer calving
- Changing genetics
- Improving pastures
- Using high value forages/crops
- Controlling weeds
- Controlling pests
- Using rotational grazing and increasing internal sub-division
- Strategic use of N fertiliser
- Reticulated water
- Retiring areas that are costing money to manage or hindering ability to manage other areas better
- Optimising the business—using tools such as Farmax or the B+LNZ Benchmarking tool
- Monitoring performance e.g. pasture cover, liveweight of finishing stock, body condition score of breeding stock.

Management activities to overcome physical limitations

- Achieve optimal pH
- Establish shelter
- Irrigation
- Ripping
- Appropriate stocking rates
- Fully effective pugging management
- Artificial drainage
- Flipping
- Aeration
- Achieve optimal nutrient status
- Optimal subdivision
- Stone picking
- Stopbanks
- Full stock access to fresh water, shelter and shade
- New pasture species
- Fully effective weed and pest control
- Fully effective erosion control.

List each new estimate of potential production in the table, and total to see what it may mean for whole-farm production. If there are realistic opportunities to improve production build them into the response plan (next section).

Tools to help

- Beef + Lamb New Zealand Lambing Calculator: portal.beeflambnz.com/tools/lambs
- Beef + Lamb New Zealand and Farmax Pasture Growth Forecaster: apps.farmax.co.nz/pasture/BeefLambNZ
- Beef + Lamb New Zealand Benchmarking tool: portal.beeflambnz.com/tools/benchmarking-tool
- Beef + Lamb New Zealand Sheep calendar: portal.beeflambnz.com/tools/sheep-calendar/
- AgPest (weed and pest identification, biology, impact and management): agpest.co.nz/
- Farmax
- Employ an advisor or consultant to assist with business planning, production assessment, optimising the farming system or environmental planning
- Yield gap analysis in appendix 1.
6) Develop a response plan

This step brings it all together to develop a three-year response plan.

**Summarise opportunities and environmental issues**

- Use the template provided to draw up a Response Plan or use your own. A sample response plan is shown.
- Review opportunities and environmental issues identified at each preceding step. List each opportunity or issue then describe how it will be managed, addressed, or capitalised upon. Spread the responses across three years if necessary. Elaborate responses so they are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound).
- Rank priority (which response will be implemented first, second, etc.).
- Include an estimate of cost.

**RESPONSE PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue or opportunity</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Responses Year 2015</th>
<th>Year 2016</th>
<th>Year 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind erosion in the front paddocks (James, Corden and No.2) when cultivated.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No cultivation this year. Avoid hard grazing if soils go dry, and especially keep the bulls out.</td>
<td>Plant October Barkant turnips. Avoid over cultivating, especially the headlands, and sow early when soils still damp. It is not possible to have zero tillage in this area. Sow back into pasture before the NW winds start.</td>
<td>Same as 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old man willows along stream have raised the bed and cause flooding and washouts along main access track.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Find out if the council is supposed to be dealing with the willows. If not find out if willows can be sprayed.</td>
<td>Aim to spray all willows with a helicopter in late summer. Find out cost and if a resource consent is needed. Keep an eye on regrowth throughout the year.</td>
<td>Aim to hire a digger in summer 2016 to clear the stream bed, rip out the willows, and pile the dead wood. Time it so the top two dams can also be de-silted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHALLENGE OR OPPORTUNITY</td>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>RESPONSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank each in order of priority</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank each in order of priority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7) Implement, monitor and review

- Implement each response as indicated
- Monitor and record all your achievements
- Remember to review and reassess each year or earlier if your situation changes
- Register your completed plan at www.beeflambnz.com. This way you can be sure to receive the latest news on LEPs and be notified of the latest modules on topics relevant to on-farm land and environment issues.

Congratulations on designing a Land and Environment Plan specifically for your farm.

For full integration with farm business planning you may wish to refer to this LEP when making decisions about farm development and financial planning.

Taking your management to the next level

Level 3 LEP

The Level 3 Land and Environment Plan draws on standards and methods used by professional farm planners. The aim is to continuously improve your management performance and produce a LEP that you can audit (e.g. Audited Self Management) or someone else (2nd or 3rd party auditing). This enables you to provide demonstrable evidence of good management practices in action on your farm.
## Appendix 1: Yield gap

Consider the difference between current and potential pasture production levels.

### Estimate whole-farm pasture yield (current)

Calculate total stock units for the farm. The *Lincoln University Farm Technical Manual (2011)* states, “A stock unit is based on an animal that requires 6000MJME per annum. If pasture has an average annual ME of 10.8 then 555kgsDM are required to produce 6000 MJME”. There are several stock unit conversions available, but the ones below keep the exercise brief. Use your own conversion factors if required.

#### Calculate total stock units for the farm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock class</th>
<th>Enter Stock numbers</th>
<th>Conversion factor</th>
<th>Stock Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef cows</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef dry</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef replacements</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy cows</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy replacements</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cattle</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeding ewes</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep dry</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep replacements</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sheep</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer for meat</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stags for velvet</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Deer</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stock units for the whole farm =

#### Convert stock units to dry matter demand

- Estimate the pasture utilisation factor. Sheep and beef farms generally achieve around 70–75% utilisation on average. Hard hill, low-quality pasture utilisation may be as low as 60–65%, while intensive cell grazing of beef may achieve upwards of 80–85% utilisation. Divide the % by 100 to calculate the utilisation factor (e.g. 80% utilisation = 80/100 = utilisation factor of 0.8).
- Calculate dry matter demand by multiplying total stock units by 550 kg DM/yr and the utilisation rate (%). This represents the minimum amount of pasture the farm must be growing to sustain current stock numbers.

#### Calculate whole farm pasture production (/ha)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock units</th>
<th>× 550</th>
<th>Utilisation factor*</th>
<th>Whole farm yield</th>
<th>Effective area (ha)</th>
<th>Yield per ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Utilisation % divided by 100 kg DM/yr kg DM/ha/yr
Estimate relative yield between LMUs

- Multiply the pasture yield estimate (kg DM/ha/yr) by farm effective area to calculate whole farm pasture yield.
- Distribute whole-farm yield between LMUs. There are several options:
  1. Repeat the stock unit calculations using stock numbers for each LMU. Most farmers are able to approximate where different stock are grazed across the farm. This option takes some time, but provides the best estimate.
  2. Use pasture cuts if available. It may be possible to transfer local pasture monitoring results according to similar land types.
  3. Use experience to estimate relative productivity as a percentage (%). For example, 70% of the farm’s production may be coming from the flats, while the remaining 30% comes from the hill country.

- Either use the template provided or your own. Build a table that lists each LMU (refer to 3c Resource Chart) and the estimate for current pasture yield. Add another column with the heading “Potential yield”.

Speculate potential pasture yield

- What could each LMU produce if all physical limitations were overcome? Think about how pasture yields or stocking rates could increase for each LMU if limitations could be removed. Examples are provided below.
**LAND AND ENVIRONMENT PLAN**
*Level 2 Relative Yield*

Take the pasture yield calculated on page 12 and multiply by farm effective area to get whole farm pasture yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YIELD PER HA</th>
<th>FARM EFFECTIVE AREA</th>
<th>WHOLE FARM PASTURE YIELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>=</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Distribute whole farm pasture yield between LMUs - template below for the calculation. Page 12 shows 2 other options.
2. Estimate what the potential yield for each LMU could be if limitations could be removed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMU</th>
<th>STOCK UNITS</th>
<th>UTILISATION FACTOR*</th>
<th>LMU YIELD (kgDM/yr)</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE AREA (ha)</th>
<th>YIELD PER HECTARE (kgDM/ha/yr)</th>
<th>POTENTIAL YIELD (kgDM/ha/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>X 550</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>X 550</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>X 550</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>X 550</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>X 550</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Utilisation % divided by 100
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